



# EVALUATION OF EUROIMMUN ZIKA VIRUS IGG AND IGM ELISA KITS

DR LINDA HUESTON

ARBOVIRUS EMERGING DISEASES UNIT

PATHOLOGY WEST-ICPMR

WESTMEAD NSW 2145

AUSTRALIA

# OBJECTIVES

- Examine the sensitivity and specificity of Euroimmun kits
- Determine kit suitability for routine diagnostic use in Asia/Pacific region.
- Provide interpretative guidelines for reporting these results



# METHODS

- Two part evaluation
    - Retrospective
    - Prospective
- 



## • Retrospective Evaluation

- 310 samples were tested for IgG (100 positive for Zika) and 320 samples were tested for IgM (100 positive for Zika).
- All samples tested by Euroimmun IgG & IgM ELISA, in house IgG & IgM IFA & neutralisation using a 90% endpoint.
- All neutralisation positive samples were retested for IgM and IgG by neutralisation following removal of IgG or IgM, respectively.

## • Prospective Evaluation

- No attempt is made to choose samples
- Patient's are tested because clinicians request them
- On-going but already false positives have been found in both IgG and IgM
- Continuing for another 2 to 3 months

# RETROSPECTIVE RESULTS

## IgG Test

	Confirmed Positive	Confirmed Negative
Euroimmun positive	91	25
Euroimmun negative	9	185
Total	100	210

Sensitivity 91%      Specificity 88.1%  
NPV 95.4%      PPV 78.5%  
Negative LHR 0.10      Positive LHR 7.64

## IgM test

	Confirmed Positive	Confirmed Negative
Euroimmun positive	89	17
Euroimmun negative	11	203
Total	100	220

Sensitivity 89.0%      Specificity 92.3%  
NPV 95.0%      PPV 84.0%  
Negative LHR 0.12      Positive LHR 11.52

# CONCLUSIONS

- Euroimmun assays did not provide the very high levels of specificity that were reported in initial evaluations by others.
- Cross reactions were more common with IgG than IgM
- Cross reactions with dengue were the most common and cross absorption studies indicate that cross reaction between dengue and Zika goes in both directions.
- Zika virus seropositivity in this study was relatively high, at 32%
- In Australia, the expected Zika seroprevalence low, which would have an impact on the expected positive predictive value.

# CONCLUSIONS

- Early infections were missed by the Euroimmun assays in some cases.
- Euroimmun kits are potentially useful as a first line test to screen out negatives particularly if two suitably timed samples are used.
- Positive IgM and/or IgG should be submitted for confirmatory testing against a panel of flaviviruses.
- False positive fourfold rises in Zika antibody titres due to cross reactions were also noted in both IgG and IgM.

# CONCLUSIONS


- Zika IgM detected for 6 to 8 weeks if primary flavivirus infection but not always detected in secondary infection
- False positive IgMs were noted with non-arboviral conditions (i.e. CMV, EBV and ANA)
- IgG positive only samples it is suggested that comments include the following or similar: "These results may suggest past infection with Zika virus. Cross reaction with another flavivirus or flavivirus vaccination cannot be excluded. If further differentiation is required neutralisation testing may be necessary".



## CONCLUSIONS

- IgM pos IgG neg: "These results may suggest early infection with Zika virus. We recommend submitting a second sample 21 days post onset to demonstrate IgG seroconversion to confirm the result and exclude cross reaction with another flavivirus/es. If submitting additional samples please provide full travel and clinical history as this greatly assists interpretation."

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

- NSW Department of Health (Sheena Adamson, Vicky Shepherd & Jeremy McAnulty)
- Euroimmun
- Questions/Concerns/Comments  [linda.hueston@health.nsw.gov.au](mailto:linda.hueston@health.nsw.gov.au)